AOC-JV-27 Rev. 1-08 Doc. Code: ODH

Page 1 of 1

Commonwealth of Kentucky

Commonwealth of Kentucky
Court of Justice www.kycourts.gov

KRS 645.060; .070; .090; .110



ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY HOSPITALIZATION (OR RECERTIFICATION)

Case N	0.	
Court	☐ District	☐ Family
County		
Division	1	

	N THE	INTEREST OF	, A CHILD
Public Advocacy. 2. A Petition has been filed requesting □ 60-day involuntary hospitalization OR □ 180-day recertification procedure 3. At a hearing held on		F	INDINGS OF FACT
 □ For a 60-day involuntary hospitalization hearing (select option a,b or c): a. □ The child was present throughout the hearing; OR b. □ The child and counsel waived the right to be present; OR c. □ On motion of an interested party, the Court determined the child's presence at all or part of the hearing was likely to have a harmful effect on the child's physical or mental health. AND The evidence presented to the Court did not establish, clearly and convincingly, that the child is mentally ill or has symptoms of mental illness, is dangerous to himself/herself or others, can benefit from treatment available only at a hospital and that no less restrictive alternative is available which will be effective in treating the child, thus failing to prove that the criteria for involuntary hospitalization specified by KRS 645.090 are present. □ For a 180-day recertification hearing (select option a or b):			from the Department of
 a. □ The child was present throughout the hearing; OR b. □ The child and counsel waived the right to be present; OR c. □ On motion of an interested party, the Court determined the child's presence at all or part of the hearing was likely to have a harmful effect on the child's physical or mental health. AND The evidence presented to the Court did not establish, clearly and convincingly, that the child is mentally ill or has symptoms of mental illness, is dangerous to himself/herself or others, can benefit from treatment available only at a hospital and that no less restrictive alternative is available which will be effective in treating the child, thus failing to prove that the criteria for involuntary hospitalization specified by KRS 645.090 are present. □ For a 180-day recertification hearing (select option a or b): a. □ The child was present throughout the hearing; OR b. □ The child was not present as the Court determined the child's presence at all or part of the hearing was likely to have a harmful effect on the child's physical or mental health. AND The Court has considered the child's prior treatment, the ability of the hospital to provide appropriate treatment, 	2. AP	etition has been filed requesting 🖵 60-day i i	nvoluntary hospitalization OR 🗅 180-day recertification procedure
 a. □ The child was present throughout the hearing; OR b. □ The child and counsel waived the right to be present; OR c. □ On motion of an interested party, the Court determined the child's presence at all or part of the hearing was likely to have a harmful effect on the child's physical or mental health. AND The evidence presented to the Court did not establish, clearly and convincingly, that the child is mentally ill or has symptoms of mental illness, is dangerous to himself/herself or others, can benefit from treatment available only at a hospital and that no less restrictive alternative is available which will be effective in treating the child, thus failing to prove that the criteria for involuntary hospitalization specified by KRS 645.090 are present. □ For a 180-day recertification hearing (select option a or b): a. □ The child was present throughout the hearing; OR b. □ The child was not present as the Court determined the child's presence at all or part of the hearing was likely to have a harmful effect on the child's physical or mental health. AND The Court has considered the child's prior treatment, the ability of the hospital to provide appropriate treatment, 	3. At a	hearing held on	, 2, the following findings were made:
 b. ☐ The child and counsel waived the right to be present; OR c. ☐ On motion of an interested party, the Court determined the child's presence at all or part of the hearing was likely to have a harmful effect on the child's physical or mental health. AND The evidence presented to the Court did not establish, clearly and convincingly, that the child is mentally ill or has symptoms of mental illness, is dangerous to himself/herself or others, can benefit from treatment available only at a hospital and that no less restrictive alternative is available which will be effective in treating the child, thus failing to prove that the criteria for involuntary hospitalization specified by KRS 645.090 are present. ☐ For a 180-day recertification hearing (select option a or b): a. ☐ The child was present throughout the hearing; OR b. ☐ The child was not present as the Court determined the child's presence at all or part of the hearing was likely to have a harmful effect on the child's physical or mental health. AND The Court has considered the child's prior treatment, the ability of the hospital to provide appropriate treatment, 		For a 60-day involuntary hospitalization l	nearing (select option a,b or c):
 c. □ On motion of an interested party, the Court determined the child's presence at all or part of the hearing was likely to have a harmful effect on the child's physical or mental health. AND The evidence presented to the Court did not establish, clearly and convincingly, that the child is mentally ill or has symptoms of mental illness, is dangerous to himself/herself or others, can benefit from treatment available only at a hospital and that no less restrictive alternative is available which will be effective in treating the child, thus failing to prove that the criteria for involuntary hospitalization specified by KRS 645.090 are present. □ For a 180-day recertification hearing (select option a or b): a. □ The child was present throughout the hearing; OR b. □ The child was not present as the Court determined the child's presence at all or part of the hearing was likely to have a harmful effect on the child's physical or mental health. AND The Court has considered the child's prior treatment, the ability of the hospital to provide appropriate treatment, 		a. The child was present throughout the	e hearing; OR
likely to have a harmful effect on the child's physical or mental health. AND The evidence presented to the Court did not establish, clearly and convincingly, that the child is mentally ill or has symptoms of mental illness, is dangerous to himself/herself or others, can benefit from treatment available only at a hospital and that no less restrictive alternative is available which will be effective in treating the child, thus failing to prove that the criteria for involuntary hospitalization specified by KRS 645.090 are present. For a 180-day recertification hearing (select option a or b): a. □ The child was present throughout the hearing; OR b. □ The child was not present as the Court determined the child's presence at all or part of the hearing was likely to have a harmful effect on the child's physical or mental health. AND The Court has considered the child's prior treatment, the ability of the hospital to provide appropriate treatment,		b. The child and counsel waived the rig	ht to be present; OR
The evidence presented to the Court did not establish, clearly and convincingly, that the child is mentally ill or has symptoms of mental illness, is dangerous to himself/herself or others, can benefit from treatment available only at a hospital and that no less restrictive alternative is available which will be effective in treating the child, thus failing to prove that the criteria for involuntary hospitalization specified by KRS 645.090 are present. For a 180-day recertification hearing (select option a or b): a. □ The child was present throughout the hearing; OR b. □ The child was not present as the Court determined the child's presence at all or part of the hearing was likely to have a harmful effect on the child's physical or mental health. AND The Court has considered the child's prior treatment, the ability of the hospital to provide appropriate treatment,			
symptoms of mental illness, is dangerous to himself/herself or others, can benefit from treatment available only at a hospital and that no less restrictive alternative is available which will be effective in treating the child, thus failing to prove that the criteria for involuntary hospitalization specified by KRS 645.090 are present. For a 180-day recertification hearing (select option a or b): a. □ The child was present throughout the hearing; OR b. □ The child was not present as the Court determined the child's presence at all or part of the hearing was likely to have a harmful effect on the child's physical or mental health. AND The Court has considered the child's prior treatment, the ability of the hospital to provide appropriate treatment,		AND	
 a. □ The child was present throughout the hearing; OR b. □ The child was not present as the Court determined the child's presence at all or part of the hearing was likely to have a harmful effect on the child's physical or mental health. AND The Court has considered the child's prior treatment, the ability of the hospital to provide appropriate treatment, 		symptoms of mental illness, is dangerous to a hospital and that no less restrictive alternative	to himself/herself or others, can benefit from treatment available only at native is available which will be effective in treating the child, thus failing
 b. The child was not present as the Court determined the child's presence at all or part of the hearing was likely to have a harmful effect on the child's physical or mental health. AND The Court has considered the child's prior treatment, the ability of the hospital to provide appropriate treatment, 		For a 180-day recertification hearing (se	lect option a or b):
to have a harmful effect on the child's physical or mental health. AND The Court has considered the child's prior treatment, the ability of the hospital to provide appropriate treatment,		a. The child was present throughout the	e hearing; OR
The Court has considered the child's prior treatment, the ability of the hospital to provide appropriate treatment,		•	
		AND	
		•	

ORDER			
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, the	ne Petition for 🛭 Involuntary	Hospitalization OR Recertification Procedure is DENIED	
Date:	, 2	Judge	
		Please Print or Type Name of Judge	